

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/577,602	Applicant(s) FRATINI ET AL.	
	Examiner DAVID TUROCY	Art Unit 1792	

All Participants:

(1) DAVID TUROCY.

(2) Grant Pollack.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 December 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants representative agreed to the attached claim amendments to more distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention and place the case in condition for allowance. Specifically, the amendment overcomes the 35 USC 112 1st paragraph rejection regarding scope of enablement because the original disclosure supports composition that comprise elemental sulphur and thereafter liberate the sulphur.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)